

Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 PRETOR 03623 01 OF 02 281340Z
ACTION SS-25

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 SSO-00 /026 W
-----065811 281346Z /72

O 281135Z JUN 78
FM AMEMBASSY PRETORIA
TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 1520
USMISSION USUN NEW YORK
INFO AMEMBASSY BONN IMMEDIATE
AMEMBASSY DAR ES SALAAM IMMEDIATE
AMMBASSY GABORONE IMMEDIATE 4229
AMEMBASSY LAGOS IMMEDIATE
AMEMBASSY LONDON IMMEDIATE
AMEMBASSY LUSAKA
AMEMBASSY MAPUTO
AMEMBASSY OTTAWA IMMEDIATE
AMEMBASSY PARIS IMMEDIATE

C O N F I D E N T I A L SECTION 1 OF 2 PRETORIA 3623

EXDIS

E.O. 11652: GDS
TAGS: PDEV, SF, WA
SUBJECT: NAMIBIA: DRAFT SECURITY SOUNCIL RESOLUTION ON
WALVIS BAY

REF: USUN 02615

THE CURRENT DRAFT TEXT OF THE PROPOSED SECURITY COUNCIL
RESOLUTION ON WALVIS BAY (REFTEL) REPRESENTS A SUBSTANTIAL
MOVEMENT BEYOND THE APRIL 2 LAGOS POSITION (SECTO 3079)
TOWARD SWAPO'S POSITION. WE MUST WEIGH CAREFULLY THE
HANDLING OF THE ISSUE IN A MANNER LEAST LIKELY TO PROVOKE
A SAG REACTION WHICH COULD CAUSE COLLAPSE OF THE
WESTERN INITIATIVE ON THE BRINK OF SUCCESS. FOURIE
AND OTHER SAG OFFICIALS HAVE RECENTLY REEMPHASITED THE
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 PRETOR 03623 01 OF 02 281340Z

SENSITIVE NATURE OF THE WALVIS BAY ISSUE AND PARTICULAR-
LY PRIME MINISTER VORSTER'S STRONG PERSONAL FEELINGS
ABOUT IT (CAPE TOWN 3510, 3539). LAST YEAR,
VORSTER PUBLICLY WARNED THAT IF THE SECURITY COUNCIL
"SHOULD IN ANY WAY DISPUTE SOUTH AFRICA'S OWNERSHIP OF
THE TERRITORY," HE SAW NO MERIT IN FURTHER DISCUSSION

WITH THE WESTEN COUNTRIES ON NAMIBIA (77 PRETORIA 4657).

2. OVER THE PAST WEEKEND, AN APPARENTLY INSPIRED PRESS STORY (CAPE TWON 2556) AND REMARKS BY FOURIE ON SABC-TV (REPORTED JOHANNESBURG SEPTTEL) UNDERSCORED THE IMPORTANCE WHICH THE SAG ATTACHES TO THE ISOLATION OF WALVIS BAY FROM THE WESTERN PROPOSALS.

3. THE SAG'S SENSITIVITY ON THE ISSUE IS IN PART A MATTER OF PRINCIPLE; THE SOUTH AFRICANS ADMANTLY HOLD THAT THEIR LEGAL CLAIM TO WALVIS BAY IS VIRTUALLY UNASSAILABLE AND JURIDICALLY DISTINCT FROM THE NAMIBIAN ISSUE. ON THE OTHER HAND, VORSTER AND OTHER SAG OFFICIALS HAVE PUBLICLY STATED THAT THEY WOULD NEGOTIATE THE FUTURE STATUS OF WALVIS BAY WITH AN INDEPENDENT NAMIBIAN GOVERNMENT AND VORSTER REPORTEDLY TOLD THE DEMOCRATIC TURNHALLE LEADERS IN LATE APRIL THAT THE SAG WOULD BE HAPPY TO GIVE THE TERRITORY TO A "FRIENDLY NEIGHBOR" (CAPE TOWN 94). IN HIS RECENT TV REMARKS, FOURIE REITERATED THE SAG'S WILLINGNESS TO DISCUSS ALTERNATIVES REGARDING THE STATUS OF WALVIS BAY WITH AN INDEPENDENT NAMIBIA.

4. GIVEN THE INTER-DEPENDENCE OF THE TWO TERRITORIES, THE SAG WILL ALMOST CERTAINLY SEEK TO COME TO AN AGREEMENT WITH THE NEW INDEPENDENT GOVERNMENT OF NAMIBIA ON THE QUESTION OF WALVIS BAY AND ITS RELATIONSHIP TO NAMIBIA.
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 PRETOR 03623 01 OF 02 281340Z

WHETHER THE SAG WILL ACTUALLY AGREE TO AN EARLY TRANSFER OF SOVEREIGNTY IS PROBLEMICAL, BUT WE CAN ASSUME THE SAG INTENDS TO DRIVE A HARD BARGAIN. IF A NON-SWAPO GOVERNMENT WERE INSTALLED IN WINDHOEK, THE SOUTH AFRICANS MIGHT BE WILLING TO CONCIIDE SOVEREIGNTY; EVEN IN THIS CASE, HOWEVER, SUCH AS CONCESSION MIGHT WELL TAKE THE FORM OF A TREATY LEAVING SOME YEARS HENCE. IF SWAPO WON THE ELECTION, THE SAG WOULD NO DOUBT DRIVE A PARTICULARLY HARD BARGAIN, USING ITS POSSESSION OF THE TERRITORY AS AN IMPORTANT LEVER TO ASSURE A COOPERATIVE RELATIONSHIP. THE SAG MIGHT CALCULATE THAT A WILLINGNESS TO NEGOTIATE A LONG-TERM AGREEMENT TURNING OVER SOVEREIGNTY AT A FUTURE DATE BUT INCLUDING AN IMMEDIATE WORKING ARRANGEMENT WOULD BE SUFFICIENT TO ALLOW IT TO ESCAPE INTERNATIONAL PRESSURES ON THE ISSUE. IN ANY EVENT, THE SAG WILL NOT WISH TO ACQUIESCE OR BE SEEN TO BE ACQUIESCING IN A SECURITY COUNCIL RESOLUTION WHICH APPEARED SERIOUSLY TO COMPROMISE ITS NEGOTIATING POSITION.

4. THUS THE KEY TO SAG TOLERANCE OF WESTERN SUPPORT FOR A SECURITY COUNCIL RESOLUTION ON WALVIS BAY IS THE

EXTENT TO WHICH SUCH A RESOLUTION APPEARS TO PREJUDICE
SOUTH AFRICA'S LEGAL POSITION AND SEEMS TO COERCE SOUTH
AFRICA IN FUTURE NEGOTIATIONS ON THE ISSUE. THE DRAFT
RESOLUTION DISCUSSED WITH TANZANIAN AMBASSADOR SALIM ON JUNE
19 AND 21 (REFTEL) COULD WELL BE SEEN BY
THE SAG AS SKIRTING TOO CLOSE TO THE ADGE ON BOTH
COUNTS. THERE ARE NEW FEATURES DIFFERING FROM THE
PREVIOUS VERSION (USUN 2088) WHICH COULD LEAD
THE SOUTH AFRICANS TO THE CONCLUSION THAT THE
RESOLUTION IN EFFECT ACCETPS SWAPO'S CLAIM. THESE
NEW FEATURES INCLUDE:

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 PRETOR 03623 02 OF 02 281441Z
ACTION SS-25

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 SSO-00 /026 W
-----066732 281445Z /72

O 281135Z JUN 78
FM AMEMBASSY PRETORIA
TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 1521
USMISSION USUN NEW YORK IMMEDIATE
INFO AMEMBASSY BONN IMMEDIATE
AMEMBASSY DAR ES SALAAM IMMEDIATE
AMEMBASSY GABORONE IMMEDIATE
AMEMBASSY LAGOS IMMEDIATE
AMEMBASSY LONDON IMMEDIATE
AMEMBASSY LUSAKA IMMEDIATE
AMEMBASSY MAPUTO IMMEDIATE
AMEMBASSY OTTAWA IMMEDIATE
AMEMBASSY PARIS IMMEDIATE

C O N F I D E N T I A L SECTION 2 OF 2 PRETORIA 3623

EXDIS

--REFERENCE TO "TERRITORIAL INTEGRITY AND UNITY"
OF NAMIBIA IN THE OPENING PREAMBULAR PARAGRAPH.
--TAKING NOTE EXPLICITLY OF GENERAL ASSEMBLY
RESOLUTION 32/9D.
--CITING THE "TERRITORIAL INTEGRITY AND UNITY OF
NAMIBIA RATHER THAN ITS "INTEGRITY AND VIABILITY" IN
OPERATIVE PARAGRAPH ONE.
--REFERRING TO THE RE-INTERGRATION" OF WALVIS
BAY INTO NAMIBIA IN THE SECOND OPERATIVE PARAGRAPH.

5. IN ADDITION, NEW OPERATIVE PARAGRAPH FOUR MAY BE SEEN BY THE SAG AS A WESTERN SUPPORTED THREAT IN REGARD TO ACTIVITIES IN AN AREA OVER WHICH THE SAG BELIEVES IT HAS FULL SOVEREIGNTY. DURING THE RUN-UP FOR INDEPENDENCE AND IN THE INITIAL PERIOD THEREAFTER, REGARDLESS OF WHO
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 PRETOR 03623 02 OF 02 281441Z

WINS THE ELECTION, THE SAG IS UNLIKELY TO THREATEN THE VIABILITY OF NAMIBIA'S ECONOMY, BY, FOR EXAMPLE, CUTTING OFF ACCESS TO WALVIS BAY. EVEN IF POST-INDEPENDENCE NEGOTIATIONS ON THE WALVIS BAY ISSUE BROKE DOWN, IT SEEMS IMPROBABLE THE SAG WOULD TAKE SUCH A STEP, UNLESS CONFRONTED BY SANCTIONS OF THE NEW NAMIBIAN GOVERNMENT WHICH SOUTH AFRICA VIEWED AS THREATENING.

6. AT THE END OF APRIL, WE HAD REASON TO BELIEVE THAT THE SAG WAS AWARE OF THE LIKELIHOOD OF THE FIVE TAKING A POSITION TO THE EFFECT THAT WALVIS BAY SHOULD BE THE SUBJECT OF POST-INDEPENDENCE NEGOTIATIONS LEADING TO ITS INCORPORATION AS PART OF NAMIBIA. IT WAS OUR VIEW THEN THAT THE SOUTH AFRICANS COULD LIVE WITH SUCH A POSITION PROVIDED WE AVOIDED ANY IMPLICATION OF CHALLENGING THE SAG'S LEGAL CLAIM AND CLEARLY REEMPHASIZED THAT OUR PRONOUNCEMENTS WERE NOT PART OF THE SETTLEMENT PROPOSAL (CAPE TOWN 851). THE LATEST VERSION OF THE RESOLUTION MAKES US LESS SANGUINE ABOUT THE SAG'S RESPONSE.

7. IF THERE WERE ANY POSSIBILITY OF RENDERING THE DRAFT PROVOCATIVE TO THE SAG, WE WOULD RECOMMEND:
--OMISSION OF THE DIRECT REFERENCE TO GA RESOLUTION 32/9D AND SUBSTITUTION OF GENERAL REFERENCE TO WIDE INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT FOR THE POSITION THAT WALVIS BAY IS AN INTEGRAL PART OF NAMIBIA.
--IN THE FIRST OPERATIVE PARAGRAPH, SUBSTITUTION OF THE EARLIER PHRASE "INTEGRITY AND VIABILITY" FOR "TERRITORIAL INTEGRITY AND UNITY."
--OMISSION OF OPERATIVE PARAGRAPH 4.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 PRETOR 03623 02 OF 02 281441Z

8. WHATEVER DECISION IS TAKEN ON THE WORDING OF THE RESOLUTION, ATTENTION MUST BE DIRECTED TO MODERATING THE IMPACT ON SOUTH AFRICA THROUGH PREPARATION OF THE WAY WITH THE SAG AND THROUGH THE STATEMENTS OF THE

FIVE DURING SECURITY COUNCIL DELIBERATIONS. IN THE SECURITY COUNCIL IT WOULD BE HIGHLY DESIRABLE TO MAKE QUITE CLEAR THAT THE RESOLUTION ON WAVIS BAY WAS NOT PART OF THE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND TO REITERATE THAT, WHILE THE SAG'S CLAIM WAS BASED ON CERTAIN LEGAL AND HISTORIC FACTORS, THE WESTERN GOVERNMENTS, IN SUPPORTING THE RESOLUTION, WAS NOT TAKING A POSITION ON THE LEGAL CLAIMS OF THE PARTIES.

8. AN IMPORTANT TACTICAL QUESTION IS WHETHER WE SHOULD INFORM THE SAG OF THE WORDING OF TH PROPOSED RESOLUTION. THE FACT THAT EARLIER WE DISCUSSED WITH THE SAG POSSIBLE LANGUAGE FOR USE DURING SECURITY COUNCIL DELIBERATIONS MAY OPEN US UP TO CHARGES OF BAD FAITH IF WE ENDORSE A MORE FAR-REACHING RESOLUTION WITHOUT CONSULTATION WITH SOUTH AFRICA. NEIL VAN HEERDEN RECENTLY URGED THAT WE "HAMMER OUT" TOGETHER THE APPROACH TO BE MADE IN THE SECURITY COUNCIL (CAPE TOWN 2539).

9. IT IS LIKELY, HOWEVER, THAT WE HAVE GONE BEYOND THE POINT WHERE WE COULD PRODUCTIVLY DISCUSS THE WORDING OF A RESOLUTION WITH THE SAG. THE SOUTH AFRICANS ARE BOUND TO REACT VIGOROUSLY TO THE DRAFT EVEN IF MODIFIED AS IN PARA 7 ABOVE. THE BEST COURSE AT THE MOMENT, WE BELIEVE, IS TO FOLLOW UP ON THIS SUBJECT IN AN INFORMAL CONVERSATION WITH FOURIE OR BOTHA BY ON MEMBER OF THE CAPE TOWN FIVE, WHO WOULD BE AUTHORIZED TO STATE THAT:
--WE ARE PREPARED TO SUPPORT A SECURITY COUNCIL RESOLUTION THAT WOULD CALL FOR THE REINTEGRATION OF WALVIS BAY INTO NAMIBIA.
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 PRETOR 03623 02 OF 02 281441Z

--THE RESOLUTION, HOWEVER, WOULD HAVE NOTHING TO DO WITH THE TERMS AND IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PROPOSAL ON NAMIBIA.

10. WE MAY ULTIMATELY HAVE TO SHOW THE DRAFT OF THE RESOLUTION TO THE SOUTH AFRICANS, BUT WE SHOULD DO SO ONLY IF AND WHEN SWAPO IS FIRMLY COMMITTED TO ACCEPTANCE OF THE PROPOSAL. THE SAG REACTION COULD CHANGE THE SITUATION AND WE MIGHT THEN BE OBLIGED TO CONSIDER OTHER WAYS TO REASSURE THE SAG THAT THE SECURITY COUNCIL RESOLUTION DOES NOT AFFECT THE PROPOSAL ITSELF OR ALTER OUR IMPARTIALITY ON TH LEGAL CLAIMS. AT BEST, WE CAN XPECT THE SAG WILL FORCEFULLY REJECT THE RESOLUTION AS NON-BINDING AND REFER TO ITS APRIL 25 ACCEPTANCE STATEMENT WHICH UNDERScoreD THE "PROVISION" THAT THE ISSUE OF WALVIS BAY IS NOT INCLUDED IN THE PROPOSALS.

EDMONDSON

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: Z
Capture Date: 01 jan 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: SECURITY, WALVIS BAY, RESOLUTIONS
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 28 jun 1978
Decaption Date: 20 Mar 2014
Decaption Note: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 20 Mar 2014
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1978PRETOR03623
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: GS
Errors: N/A
Expiration:
Film Number: D780267-0615
Format: TEL
From: PRETORIA
Handling Restrictions:
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1978/newtext/t19780612/aaaaajrt.tel
Line Count: 279
Litigation Code IDs:
Litigation Codes:
Litigation History:
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Message ID: 83354f7e-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Office: ACTION SS
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: EXDIS
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 6
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: EXDIS
Reference: 78 USUN NEW YORK 2615
Retention: 0
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 22 apr 2005
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review Media Identifier:
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
SAS ID: 2178841
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: NAMIBIA: DRAFT SECURITY SOUNCIL RESOLUTION ON WALVIS BAY
TAGS: PDEV, SF, WA
To: STATE USUN NEW YORK
Type: TE
vdkgvwkey: odb://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS_Docs/83354f7e-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Review Markings:
Sheryl P. Walter
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
20 Mar 2014
Markings: Sheryl P. Walter Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 20 Mar 2014